Local	Grievance	#	

Issue Statement (Block #15 on PS Form 8190):

Did Management violate Article 8.5.G of the National Agreement by working City Carriers over 60 hours during the period **[Date]** through **[Date]** at the **[Station/Post Office]**, and if so, what should the remedy be?

Union Facts and Contentions (Block #17 on PS Form 8190):

Facts:

1. Letter Carrier **[Name]** worked **[# of hours]** hours during the week of **[Date]** through **[Date]**.

Note: Repeat Fact #1 for each Letter Carrier who worked over 60 hrs.

- 2. These facts are verified by the TACS Employee Everything Reports for all the Letter Carriers involved included in the case file.
- 3. Article 8.5.G of the National Agreement states:

Full-time employees not on the "Overtime Desired" list may be required to work overtime only if all available employees on the "Overtime Desired" list have worked up to twelve (12) hours in a day or sixty (60) hours in a service week. Employees on the "Overtime Desired" list: 1. may be required to work up to twelve (12) hours in a day and sixty (60) hours in a service week (subject to payment of penalty overtime pay set forth in Section 4.D for contravention of Section 5.F); and 2. excluding December, shall be limited to no more than twelve (12) hours of work in a day and no more than sixty (60) hours of work in a service week. However, the Employer is not required to utilize employees on the "Overtime Desired" list at the penalty overtime rate if qualified employees on the "Overtime Desired" list who are not yet entitled to penalty overtime are available for the overtime assignment.

4. JCAM pages 8-19 and 8-20 states:

On October 19, 1988, the national parties signed the following Memorandum of Understanding (M-00859):

The parties agree that with the exception of December, full-time employees are prohibited from working more than 12 hours in a single work day or 60 hours within a service week. In those limited instances where this provision is or has been violated and a timely grievance filed, full-time employees will be compensated at an additional premium of 50 percent of the base hourly straight time rate for those hours worked beyond the 12 or 60 hour limitation. The employment of this remedy shall not be construed as an agreement by the parties that the Employer may exceed the 12 and 60 hour limitation with impunity. As a means of facilitating the foregoing, the parties agree that excluding December, once a fulltime employee reaches 20 hours of overtime time within a service week, the employee is no longer available for any additional overtime work. Furthermore, the employee's tour of duty shall be terminated once he or she reaches the 60th hour of work, in accordance with Arbitrator Mittenthal's National Level Arbitration Award on this issue, dated September 11, 1987, in case numbers H4NNA-C 21 (3rd issue) and H4C-NA-C 27 (C-07323).

National Arbitrator Snow held in A90N-4A-C 94042668, November 30, 1998 (C-18926) that the Memorandum of Understanding above (M00859) provides the exclusive remedy for violations of the 12 and 60 hour work limits in Article 8.5.G.2.

Article 8.5G Violations During a Service Week. The remedy of 50 percent of the base hourly straight-time rate provided in the Memorandum above applies for each hour worked in excess of twelve on a service day (excluding December) by a full-time employee. The remedy of 50 percent of the base hourly straight-time rate also applies for each hour worked by a full-time employee in excess of the sixty during the same service week (excluding December) in which the full-time employee has exceeded twelve hours in a service day. For example, if during the same service week a full-time employee worked 14 hours on Monday and ended up with 62 hours for the week on Friday, four hours would have been worked in violation of the Article 8.5.G restrictions. The appropriate remedy in this example would be four hours of pay at 50 percent of the base hourly straight-time rate—two for Monday and two for Friday. In this example, the carrier should have been instructed to "clock off" and go home on Friday when the sixtieth hour was reached. The employee would then be paid any applicable quarantee time for the remainder of the service day.

In those circumstances where the same work hours of a full-time employee simultaneously violate both the twelve hour and sixty hour limits, only a single remedy of 50 percent of the base hourly straight time rate is applied. For example, if a full-time employee worked 14 hours on Friday, resulting in a 62 hour workweek, only two hours would have been worked in violation of the Article 8.5.G restrictions. The appropriate remedy in this example would be two hours of pay at 50 percent of the base hourly straight time rate (Step 4, J94N-4J-C 99050117, September 6, 2001, M-01445).

Contentions:

- 1. Management violated Article 8.5.G of the National Agreement by working City Carriers over 60 hours during the week of **[Date]** through **[Date]**.
- Management's contractual violation(s) in this case has/have caused harm to the grievant(s). When Letter Carrier's rights are disregarded trust is eroded between employee and employer, resulting in an atmosphere of disrespect at the workplace.

Remedy (Block #19 on PS Form 8190):

- 1. That management at the **[Station/Post Office]** cease and desist from future violations of Article 8.5.G of the National Agreement.
- 2. That Letter Carrier(s) **[Name, Name, and Name]** each be compensated at an additional premium of 50% of the base hourly straight time rate for those hours worked beyond the 60-hour limitation as follows:

[List names and amounts]

- 3. That all payments associated with this case be made as soon as administratively possible, but no later than, 30 days from the date of settlement.
- 4. That proof of payment be provided to **[NALC Official]** upon payment.

Add the following issue statement, facts, contentions, and remedy request if we can prove the violation is repetitive:

Issue Statement:

Did management violate Article 15.3.A of the National Agreement along with policy letter M-01517 by failing to comply with the prior Step B decisions/local grievance settlements in the case file, and if so, what should the remedy be?

Facts:

1. Article 15.3.A of the National Agreement states in relevant part:

The parties expect that good faith observance, by their respective representatives, of the principles and procedures set forth above will result in resolution of substantially all grievances initiated hereunder at the lowest possible step and recognize their obligation to achieve that end.

2. M-01517 states in part:

Compliance with arbitration awards and grievance settlements is not optional. No manager or supervisor has the authority to ignore or override an arbitrator's award or a signed grievance settlement. Steps to comply with arbitration awards and grievance settlements should be taken in a timely manner to avoid the perception of non-compliance, and those steps should be documented.

3. Included in the case file are [Arbitration Awards/Step B decisions/local grievance settlements, etc.] in which management was instructed/agreed to cease and desist violating Article 8.5.G of the National Agreement and/or ELM Section 432.32 via Article 19 of the National Agreement.

Contentions:

- Management violated Article 15.3.A of the National Agreement and M-01517 by failing to abide by the previous Step B decisions/local grievance settlements in the case file. When management violates contractual provisions despite being instructed/agreeing to cease and desist these violations, they have failed to bargain in good faith.
- 2. The Union contends that Management has had prior cease and desist directives to stop violating Article 8.5.G of the National Agreement and/or ELM Section 432.32 via Article 19 of the National Agreement. The Union also contends that

Management's actions are continuous, egregious, and deliberate. The Union has included past decisions/settlements in the case file to support this point.

Remedy:

- 1. That management cease and desist violating Article 15 of the National Agreement.
- 2. That Letter Carrier(s) **[Name]**, **[Name]**, **and [Name]** each be paid a lump sum of \$100.00 for each violation as an incentive for future compliance.



National Association of Letter Carriers Request for Information

To:		Date
(Manag	ger/Supervisor)	
(Station	n/Post Office)	
Manag	ger/Supervisor	
	ant to Articles 17 and 31 of the National Agnation to investigate a grievance concerning ment:	
1.	TACS Employee Everything reports for Ca [date].	arrier(s) [Names] from [date] through
I'm als	so requesting time to interview the following	ı individuals:
2.	[Name] [Name] [Name]	
concer	cooperation in this matter will be greatly apperning this request, or if I may be of assistangle to contact me.	, ,
Sincer	rely,	
	Request receiv	red by:
Shop S	Steward	Date:



National Association of Letter Carriers Request for Steward Time

To:	Date
To:(Manager/Supervisor)	
(Station/Post Office)	
Manager/Supervisor	
Pursuant to Article 17 of the National Agreement time to investigate a grievance. I anticipate nee (hours/minutes) of steward time, which needs to in order to ensure the timel In the event more steward time is needed, I will	ding approximately be scheduled no later than ines established in Article 15 are met.
Your cooperation in this matter will be greatly appropriately concerning this request, or if I may be of assistated free to contact me.	
Sincerely,	
Request rece	ived by:
Shop Steward	
NALC	Date: